

Appendix A.14.1

Summary of Individual Land Parcel Impacts

A.14.1 Summary of Individual Land Parcel Impacts

Document Verification

Project title N6 Galway City Ring Road
Document title Appendix A.14.1 Agri Impact Assessment
Job number 233985-00
Document ref GCOB-4.04.03_30.10.9.14_A.14.1
File reference Appendix A.14.1

Revision	Date	Filename
		A.14.1 Agri Impact Assessment_I1

Issue	Date	Description
Issue 1	28 September 2018	Issued for 2018 EIAR

	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
Name	Con Curtin, Curtin Agricultural Consultants Ltd	Mary Hurley	Eileen McCarthy
Signature		<i>Mary Hurley</i>	<i>Eileen McCarthy</i>

Issue	Date	Filename	Description
Issue 2	25 March 2025	A.14.1 Agri Impact Assessment_I2	Issued for 2025 RFI Response

	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
Name	Con Curtin, Curtin Agricultural Consultants Ltd	Mary Hurley	Eileen McCarthy
Signature		<i>Mary Hurley</i>	<i>Eileen McCarthy</i>

Filename		
Description		
Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
Name		
Signature		

Filename		
Description		
Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
Name		
Signature		

Issue Document Verification with Document

Appendix 14.1 Summary of Individual Land Parcel Impact Assessments

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
101	3.1	Beef and horses	0.14	5			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 5% of the land parcel(s). Impact on stream used by livestock - 0.05ha road bed. Significant Adverse impact due to loss of 1.14ha - planned residential development 2361053, Galway County Council.	Footnote No 4 - provide alternative access to land	Slight adverse Due to Project Significant Adverse due to cumulative impact from planned residential development 2361053
102	1	Beef	0.26	26			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 26% of the land parcel(s). Impact on access into land - 0.02ha road bed.	Footnote No 4 - provide alternative access to land	Moderate adverse
103	9.3	Beef	0.151 (0.034ha temporary landtake)	2			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s). 0.3% temporary landtake. Impact on access into land and farm yard - 0.05ha road bed. Not significant impact due to loss of 0.28ha from planned residential development 2360778, Galway County Council.	Footnote No 4 - provide alternative access to farm yard	Slight adverse
105	0.5	Not farmed	0.463	100			Very low	Moderate adverse due to 100% land take	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
106	3.9	Rough grazing (Beef)	1.66	43	Yes	76	Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 43% and separation of 76% of the land parcel(s). 0.15ha of road bed in southern land parcel. 0.7ha of land separated in southern land parcel and 1.1ha separated in northern land parcel.	Footnote No 4 and maintain access to separated land	Slight adverse
107	2	Not farmed	0.718	36	Yes	55	Low	Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 36% and separation of 55% of the land parcel(s). 0.6ha of land separated - access to stream water source severed.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
108, 124, 125	5.8	Beef	1.0	17	Yes	29	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 17% and separation of 29% of the land parcel(s). 0.36ha taken, 1.0ha of land separated and access to stream water source severed in southern land parcel. Slight adverse impact due to loss of 0.4ha - planned residential development 201494, Galway County Council.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
109, 110, 112	3.7	Not farmed	0.85	23	Yes	77	Low	Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 23% and separation of 77% of the land parcel(s). 2.17ha of land separated - access to stream water source severed.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
111	1.6	Not farmed	0.8	50			Low	Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 50% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
113	1.5	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.01	<1			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
114, 301	3.3	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.03	1			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 1% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
115	1.7	Horses	0.39	23	Yes	45	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 23% and separation of 45% of the land parcel(s). 0.59ha of land separated - access to stream water source severed. Main access is from western boundary - access from eastern boundary is blocked up, but could be opened. Significant adverse impact due to Planned development 2297, Galway City. Council – loss of 0.1ha to residential development.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse Very significant adverse after cumulative effect from 2297 is assessed
116	2.2	Horses	0.84	38			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 38% of the land parcel(s). Access to stream and springs taken.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
117	5.9	Horses	1.16	20	Yes	79	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Profound impact due to loss of 20% and separation of 79% of the land parcel(s). 3.8ha of land separated - access to stream water source severed. Small shed taken.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
120	0.7	Not farmed	0.67	100			Very low	Slight adverse impact	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
128	0.8	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.013	2			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
134	0.9	Not farmed	0.43	48			Very low	Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 48% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
144	1.3	Rough grazing (Beef)	1.03	79			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 79% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4 - maintain access to land	Slight adverse
145	13.3	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.7	5	Yes	8	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 5% and separation of 8% of the land parcel(s). 0.7ha of land separated.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
146	12.4	Horses	4.05	33	Yes	23	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 33% and separation of 23% of the land parcel(s). 0.32ha of land separated and access to surface watercourses taken.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
147	4.1	Beef	0.08 (0.009ha temporary landtake)	2	Yes	17	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% and separation of 17% of the land parcel(s). 0.2% temporary landtake. 0.02ha of road bed and garden.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Not significant
153	1.3	Beef and horses	0.09	7			Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 7% of the land parcel(s). Access to land and water source within CPO. This is an out-farm.	Footnote No 4	Not significant

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
154	2.4	Donkeys	1.8	75			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 75% of the land parcel(s). Land-take includes 0.12ha of dwelling & farm buildings. Some donkeys kept on the land.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
156	0.55	Not farmed	0.07	13			Very Low	Not significant impact	Not significant impact due to loss of land from access track	Footnote No 4 - maintain access to land via realigned local road	Not significant
160	7.2	Horses	0.02	<1			Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel(s). - 0.02ha of road bed. Not significant adverse impact due to Planned developments 211297 and 2260704, Galway Co. Council – loss of 0.21ha to residential development.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
164	0.4	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.02	5			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 5% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
165, 196	23	Beef	0.16	<1	Yes	21	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% and separation of 21% of the land parcel(s). 4.3ha separated. 0.04ha of road bed and 0.05ha of bog in land-take.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Not significant
166	2.2	Not farmed	0.07	3			Very low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 3% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4 - provide access via accommodation road	Not significant
167	1.4	Horses	0.03	2			Very low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4 - provide access via accommodation road	Not significant
168	10.8	Not farmed	4.52	42	Yes	25	Very low	Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 42% and separation of 25% of the land parcel(s). 1.6ha separated. 2.84ha taken from western plot and 1.66ha taken from the eastern plot.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
169	1.8	Horses	0.04	2			Very low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s). Access severed.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
170	0.8	Not farmed	0.02	3			Very low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 3% of the land parcel(s). Access severed.	Footnote No 4 - provide access via accommodation road	Not significant
171, 174	14.4	Beef	0.3	2	Yes	41	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 2% and separation of 41% of the land parcel(s). Access to 4 plots of bog severed (5.6ha separated).	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
172	2.4	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.124	5			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 5% of the land parcel(s). Access severed.	Footnote No 4 - provide access via accommodation road	Not significant
173	1.1	Not farmed	0.08	7			Very low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 7% of the land parcel(s). Access severed.	Footnote No 4 - provide access via accommodation road	Not significant
175	0.8	Not farmed	0.144	18			Very low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 18% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
176	4.2	Rough grazing (Beef)	1.6	38	Yes	27	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 38% and separation of 26% of the land parcel(s). 0.7ha separated.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
177	5.8	Beef	0.07	1.5			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 1.5% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
193	1.1	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.37	34			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 34% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
194	6.2	Horses	0.27	4			Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 4% of the land parcel(s). Access to track severed.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
198	11.3	Beef	1.5	13			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 13% of the land parcel(s). Not significant adverse impact due to Planned development 211365, Galway Co. Council – loss of 0.31ha to residential development.	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
197, 199, 200	23.1	Beef	1.1	5			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 5% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
205	4.5	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.82	18	Yes	82	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 18% and separation of 82% of the land parcel(s). 3.0ha separated. Access to surface water separated.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
208	5.4	Not farmed	0.88	16	Yes	72	Low	Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 16% and separation of 72% of the land parcel(s). 3.9ha of land separated, access to stream water source severed and shed taken in Trusky East. Property Developer - also owns 242, 668 & 669.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
209	4.3	Horses	0.83	19	Yes	42	Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 19% and separation of 42% of the land parcel(s). 1.5ha of land separated and access to stream water source severed.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
210	5.2	Horses	1.4	27	Yes	14	Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 27% and separation of 14% of the land parcel(s). 0.4ha of land separated and access to stream water source severed.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
211	10.5	Beef and horses	1.32	13			Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 13% of the land parcel(s). Access to stream water source severed.	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
212	16.4	Beef	0.96	6			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 6% of the land parcel(s). Access to stream water source restricted.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
213	22.2	Beef	2.03	9	Yes	40	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 9% of the land parcel at close proximity to the farm yard. Access to lane east of Cappagh Road severed. Impact close to farm yard. Not Significant Adverse impact due to loss of 0.1ha due to planned residential development	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
									2360511, Galway County Council		
214	7.1	Beef	0.54	8			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 9% of the land parcel.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
216	8.7	Beef and horses	0.21	2			Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s). Impact on dwelling site and access to yard & dwelling.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
217	0.2	Not farmed	0.03	15			Very low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 15% of the land parcel(s). Building site.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
223	12.1	Not farmed	3.331	28	Yes	5	Very low	Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 28% and separation of 5% of the land parcel(s). 0.4ha of land separated.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
224	6.4	Beef	0.28	4			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 4% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
226	20.4	Beef	0.85	4	Yes	50	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Profound impact due to loss of 4% and separation of 50% of the land parcel(s). 9.6ha of land separated. Impact on mast.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
227	1.8	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.74	41			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 41% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
228	4.2	Beef	0.13	3			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 3% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
229	3	Beef	1.45	48			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 48% of land parcel Significant adverse impact due to loss of entire land parcel due to planned residential development, Galway County Council.	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse from Project. Significant adverse impact when cumulative impact from planned residential development is assessed.
230	10.5	Beef	2.1	20			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 20% of the land parcel(s). Impact on access to farm yard and dwelling and access to surface water source.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
231	2.6	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.7	27			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 27% of the land parcel(s). Impact on access to surface water source.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
233	4.8	Beef	0.02	<1			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel(s). Impact on access to land.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
235	0.8	Beef	0.06	8			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 8% of the land parcel(s). 0.02ha road frontage.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
236	1.6	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.06	4			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
238	2.9	Not farmed	0.95	33			Very low	Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 33% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
239	12.5	Dairy	2.59	21	Yes	19	High	Footnote No 3 - Impact is Profound	Profound impact due to loss of 21% and separation of 19% of	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Profound

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
									the land parcel(s). 0.1ha of road bed, 1.8 of land separated in two plots, impact at edge of farm yard, very close to well and farm access roads severed.		
241	7.6	Dairy	2.1	28	Yes	29	High	Footnote No 3 - Impact is Profound	Profound impact due to loss of 28% and separation of 29% of the land parcel(s). 0.18ha of road bed, 1.6 of land separated in two plots and access to adjoining lands severed. Significant Adverse impact due to loss of 2ha - planned residential development 21213, Galway City Council	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Profound
243	3.54	Dairy	1.44	41	Yes	40	Medium	Footnote No 3 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Very significant impact due to loss of 40% and separation of 40% of the land parcel(s). (land rented to a dairy farmer) - 0.8ha of land separated and old shed taken - 0.2ha road bed.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
245	2.5	Dairy	0.04	2			Medium	Footnote No 3 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s). Rented by a dairy farmer.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
246	9.4	Beef	1.9	20			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 20% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
247	8.3	Beef	1.04	12	Yes	18	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 12% and separation of 18% of the land parcel(s). 1.3ha separated. Farm yard rented to bus company.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
248	4	Beef and sheep	0.016	<1			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
249_4 67	5.3	Beef and sheep	0.86	16			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 16% of the land parcel(s). Access to adjoining lands severed.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
250_4 66	2	Beef and horses	0.982	49			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 49% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
251, 313	1.9	Beef and sheep	0.08	4			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 4% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
254	1.7	Beef	0.013	<1			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
256	1.3	Beef	0.029	2			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
257	0.3	Beef	0.1	33			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 33% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
258_4 64	9.7	Beef	0.2	2.5	Yes	7	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 2% and separation of 7% of the land parcel(s). Access lane north of the Letteragh Road is severed. Movement of cattle along Letteragh road made more difficult due to new junction.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
259_4 63	8.6	Beef	0.67	8	Yes	74	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 8% and separation of 74% of the land parcel(s). Small roadside shed taken. Access lane to 0.45ha	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse from Project Significant adverse impact from

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
									plot severed. Access to land along Letteragh road will require new junction to be crossed. Significant adverse impact due to loss of 1.58ha from planned residential development 2460394, Galway City Council		cumulative effect from planned residential development 2460394
260	0.955	Dairy (rented by dairy farm)	0.0043	<1			Medium	Not significant impact	Not significant impact due to loss of land from access	Footnote No 4 - maintain access to land via realigned local road	Not significant
261	6.5	Beef	1.6	25	Yes	26	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 25% and separation of 18% of the land parcel(s). 1.2ha separated west of the Ballymoneen road. Reduced access to retained lands. Significant adverse impact due to loss of 4.11ha from planned residential development 2460270, Galway City Council	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse from Project Significant adverse impact when cumulative impact from planned residential development 2460270 is assessed
272_4 62	9.4	Beef	2.806	30	Yes	48	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Very Significant Adverse	Profound impact due to loss of 30% and separation of 48% of the land parcel(s). .3 ha separated in two plots.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Very significant adverse
273_4 61	3.1	Beef	1.811	58	Yes	51	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Very significant adverse impact due to loss of 58% and separation of 51% of the land parcel(s). 0.6ha of land separated.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
457	5.5	Beef	4.056	74	Yes	100	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Profound	Profound impact due to loss of 74% and separation of 100% of the land parcel(s). Farm yard & dwellings taken - 1.5ha separated.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Profound
297_4 60	0.350	Not farmed	0.350	100			Very low	Slight adverse impact	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s). Abandoned site	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
309	0.004	Not farmed	0.004	100			Very low	Not significant impact	Not significant impact, unregistered very small isolated plot of land,	Footnote No 4	Not significant
311	0.9	Beef	0.2	22			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 22% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
312	3.5	Dairy	0.2	6			Medium	Footnote No 3 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 6% of the land parcel(s). Rented by a dairy farmer.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
450	0.58	Not farmed	0.01	2			Very low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s). Zoned for development. Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 0.55ha from planned residential development 2460056, Galway City Council	Footnote No 4	Not significant from Project Moderate adverse cumulative impact due to planned residential development 2460056
468	0.9	Not farmed	0.263 (0.04ha temporary)	29			Low	Slight adverse impact	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 23% of the land parcel(s). Temporary landtake of 4%.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
480	9.2	Beef	1.30	14			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 14% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
481	3.1	Horses	0.598	19			Very low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 19% of the land parcel(s). Impact on existing access. Land serviced for development.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
484	8.5	Beef	1.29	15	Yes	46	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 15% and separation of 46% of the land parcel(s). 3.8ha of land separated - property is zoned for development. Significant Adverse impact due to loss of 4ha from planned residential development 23129, Galway City Council.	Footnote No 4 and maintain access to separated land	Slight adverse due to Project Significant Adverse impact due to cumulative impact from planned residential development 23129.
485	0.463	Beef	0.463	100			Low	Footnote No 1 - Moderate Adverse effect due to 100% land-take	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
486	1.5	Beef	0.156 (0.08ha temporary landtake)	10			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 10% of the land parcel(s). Impact on access to house and yard.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
489	16	Horses and beef	1.2	8	Yes (temporary)	6	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 8% and separation of 6% of the land parcel(s). Land required for drainage outlet - access to land will be restored after construction. Not significant impact from the planned Galway to Oughterard Greenway (additional landtake <0.3ha; severance of access onto commonage will be mitigated).	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Not significant
495	1.2	Beef	1.2	100			Medium	Significant Adverse impact	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s). Agricultural shed taken.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
496	9.2	Horses, beef and sheep	0.69	8			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 8% of the land parcel(s). Not significant impact from the planned Galway to Oughterard Greenway (additional landtake <0.3ha).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
498	3	Beef and horses	1.183	39			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 39% of the land parcel(s). Small shed taken as well as dwelling. Not significant impact due to Planned development 2297, Galway Co. Council – loss of 0.1ha to residential development.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
499	10.2	Beef	0.896	9			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 9% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
500, 565	8.6	Rough grazing (Beef)	1.6	19	Yes	55	Low	Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 19% of the land and separation of 68% of affected land parcel. Most of the land is under cover of hazel and scrub.	Footnote No 4 – access provided to retained lands	Slight Adverse
501	6.5	Not farmed	3.53 (1.33ha temporary landtake)	54			Very Low	Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 54% of the land parcel(s). Temporary landtake of 20%.	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse due to the Project

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
									Not significant impact due to Planned developments 19250, 22217, 20249, 20308, 20311 and 20323, Galway City. Council – single site residential developments. Significant adverse impact due to Planned development 20294 residential development due to loss of 2.1ha.		Significant adverse cumulative impact from 20294 planned residential development
502	1.9	Rough grazing (Beef)	1.083	57			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 57% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4 - provide alternative access	Moderate adverse
503	4.9	Beef	0.022	<1			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
504	3.1	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.73	23			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 23% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
505	5.4	Horses	1.038	19			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 19% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
506	4.3	Horses	2.15	50	Yes	56	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Very Significant Adverse	Profound impact due to loss of 50% and separation of 56% of the land parcel(s). 1.1ha separated	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Very significant adverse
507	3.1	Horses	0.057	2			High	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s). Horses kept here and in out farm.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
508	0.12	Horses and beef	0.12	100			Very low	Slight adverse impact	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
509	0.76	Horses and beef	0.76	100			Medium	Significant adverse impact	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
510	0.814	Not farmed	0.011	1			Very Low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact on land at rear of residential plot due to loss of 1% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
513	3.6	Horses and beef	1.454	40			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 40% of the land parcel(s). Ponies owned by 506. Access from North West corner is severed but alternative access is available.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
526	0.23	Not farmed	0.061	27			Very low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 27% of the land parcel(s). Not significant impact due to loss of 0.14ha from planned residential development 23141, Galway City Council.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
551, 470	7.4	Beef	2.7	36			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 36% of the land parcel(s). Land used for winter feeding taken.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
552	1.9	Beef	1.093	58	Yes	100	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 58% and separation of 100% of the land parcel(s). Under-bridge will maintain access to retained land (0.8ha).	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
553, 472	15	Beef	1.6	11	Yes	35	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 11% and separation of 35% of the land parcel(s). Two separated land parcels - 4.7ha.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
554	2.8	Rough grazing (Beef)	0.567	20	Yes	56	Low	Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 20% of the land parcel(s) and separation of 62% of the affected land. Most of the land is under cover of hazel and scrub.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
555	0.6	Beef	0.6	100			Low	Moderate adverse impact	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
556	0.375	Not farmed	0.0065	2			Very low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
559	1.26	Beef	1.26	100			Medium	Significant adverse impact	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s). Unregistered	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
560	0.9	Horses	0.545	57			Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 57% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
562	3.5	Beef	0.621	17			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 17% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
563	18.5	Beef	0.721	4	Yes	32	Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 4% and separation of 32% of the land parcel(s). 2.9ha of land separated in 2 land parcels.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
564, 471	11.9	Beef	1.618	14	Yes	48	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 14% and separation of 48% of the land parcel(s). 2.1 ha of rough land separated in 4 plots.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
566	3	Beef	0.219	7	Yes	61	Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 7% and separation of 61% of the land parcel(s). 1.7ha of rough land separated.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
570	5	Beef and horses	0.662	13	Yes	57	Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 13% and separation of 57% of the land parcel(s). Land take under viaduct. 1.75ha of land separated - access available under the proposed road.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land under the proposed road	Moderate adverse
571, 579	5	Beef	0.65	13	Yes	30	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 13% and separation of 30% of the land parcel(s). 1.75ha of land separated - alternative existing access available.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land under the proposed road	Moderate adverse
572, 592	5.8	Beef and horses	2.168	37	Yes	22	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 37% and separation of 22% of the land parcel(s). Small shed taken and water source affected. 0.5 ha separated with access under proposed road to 0.23ha.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
573	0.25	Beef	0.006	4			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 4% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
576	0.515	Not farmed	0.266	52			Very Low	Impact is Slight Adverse	Not significant impact due to loss of 50% of this non-agricultural land	Footnote No 4	Slight Adverse

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
578	0.5	Horses	0.5	100			Low	Moderate adverse impact	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
580	2.8	Beef and horses	1.53	55	Yes	51	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Very significant adverse impact due to loss of 55% and separation of 51% of the land parcel(s). 0.65ha of land separated in 2 plots.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
581	10.6	Beef and horses	0.9	8.5	Yes	77	Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Profound impact due to loss of 8.5% and separation of 77% of the land parcel(s). 8.2ha of land separated.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
582	22.4	Beef	2.7	12	Yes	30	Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Profound impact due to loss of 12% and separation of 30% of the land parcel(s). Part of land take is over tunnel. 6.4 ha of land separated - with access available over proposed road.	Footnote No 4 and maintain access over the tunnel section	Slight adverse
583	21.3	Horses	20.76 (3 ha temporary landtake)	97			Very low	Slight adverse impact	Slight adverse impact due to loss of non-agricultural land & agricultural sheds. This is a quarry but some of the sheds are used for housing horses. Temporary landtake of 14%.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
586	4.9	Beef	0.88	18			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 18% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
587	0.74	Beef	0.74	100			Medium	Significant adverse impact	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
588	5.6	Beef	2.62	47			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 47% of the land parcel(s) – 100% of affected land parcel.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
591	3.688	Beef	1.106	30	Yes	45	Medium	Significant adverse impact	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 30% and separation of 45% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
598	2.8	Beef	0.495	18			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 18% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
599	0.514	Beef	0.514	100			Medium	Significant adverse impact	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s). Unregistered. Opening into adjoining land.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
600	0.645	Not farmed	0.001	<1			Very Low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
603	0.6	Beef	0.251	44			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 44% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
605	0.122	Not farmed	0.032				Very Low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of roadbed only associated with isolated land parcel.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
606	0.5	Beef	0.021	4			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 4% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
620	6.622	Beef	0.205	3			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 3% of the land parcel(s). Gardens & dwellings affected	Footnote No 4	Not significant
623	9.4	Beef and horses	0.002	<1			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
624,625	7.5	Beef	3.121	42			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 42% of the land parcel(s). Significant adverse impact due to loss of 3.7ha - planned residential development, Galway City Council	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse due to Project Very significant adverse with cumulative impact from planned residential development
626	18	Beef	0.945	5	Yes	15	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Very significant adverse impact due to loss of 5% and separation of 15% of the land parcel(s). 2.5ha separated. Small shed and yard taken.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
627	7.3	Beef and horses	1.171	16	Yes	80	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Profound impact due to loss of 16% and separation of 80% of the land parcel(s). Access to retained land severed.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
628	0.5	Other	0.024	5			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 5% of the land parcel(s). Playing area / pitch affected.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
629	0.4	Other	0.05	12.5			Very low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 14% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
630	2.5	Beef	1.06	42			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 42% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
631	6.8	Beef	0.763	11			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 11% of the land parcel(s). Dwelling taken.	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
632	2.2	Horses	2.2	100			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s). Agricultural shed and dwelling taken.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
635	2.3	Beef	0.589	26			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 26% of the land parcel(s). Main access to land severed.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
647	1.506	Beef	0.017	1			Medium	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 1% of the land parcel.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
648	6.96	Beef and horses	3.25	52	Yes	100	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 52% and separation of 100% of the land parcel(s). 2.98ha separated adjoining river.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
649	3.7	Horses and beef	1.43	39	Yes	100	Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 39% and separation of 100% of the land parcel(s). 2.24ha separated adjoining river.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
651	5.7	Beef	1.481 (0.08ha temporary landtake)	26			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 26% of the land parcel(s). Temporary landtake of 1.5%.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
655	7.4	Beef	0.016	<1			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
658	16.9	Beef	1.669	10	Yes	5	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 10% and separation of 5% of the land parcel(s). Access to farm yard severed. Dwelling taken. 0.8ha of land separated	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
659	2.4	Beef	2.4	100			Medium	Very Significant adverse impact	Very Significant adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Very significant adverse
660, 661	1.8	Horses	0.2	11			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 11% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
664	3.5	Beef	0.05	1.4			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 1.5% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
671	2.83	Beef	2.83	100			Medium	Very significant adverse impact	Very significant adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s). Dwellings taken.	Footnote No 4	Very significant adverse
681	1.876	Other	0.065	3			Medium	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 3% of the land parcel along the access road.	Footnote No 4 – access provided via accommodation road	Not significant
684	2	Beef	0.01	<1			Medium	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of <1% of the land parcel.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
688	6.6	Beef	4.102	62			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 62% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
689	8.7	Beef	8.7	100			Medium	Very significant adverse impact	Very Significant adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s). Small shed taken.	Footnote No 4	Very Significant adverse
690	7.4	Horses and beef	5.725	77	Yes	100	Very low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 77% and separation of 100% of the land parcel(s). Commercial property.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
691	60.2	Horses & sheep	5.104 (1ha of temporary landtake)	8			Very high	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 8% of the land parcel(s). Temporary landtake of 1.7%. Impact on racecourse facility - large stable building taken.	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
692	0.36	Not farmed	0.356	100			Very low	Slight Adverse impact	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s). Woodland.	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
693	2.9	Beef	0.708	22	Yes	13	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 22% and separation of 13% of the land parcel(s). Farmed along with neighbour - 694. Access to 694 through this land.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land	Significant adverse
694	2.8	Beef	0.62	21	Yes	55	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 21% and separation of 55% of the land parcel(s). Farmed along with neighbour - 693. Access to this land through 693.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
701	6	Beef	4.25	71	Yes	74	High	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Very Significant Adverse	Profound impact due to loss of 71% and separation of 74% of the land parcel(s). Dwelling, stables and farm yard taken. 1ha of land separated in two plots.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Very significant adverse
705	4.3	Beef	1.5	35	Yes	86	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Very Significant Adverse	Profound impact due to loss of 35% and separation of 86% of the land parcel(s). Small farm yard taken. 2.4ha of land separated in two land parcels.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Very significant adverse
706	2.2	Not farmed	2.2	100			Very low	Slight adverse impact	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 100% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse

PRO/ MO	Area Farmed	Farm Type	Land-take	% taken	Severance (Yes / No)	% Severance	Sensitivity	Construction impact	Impact before mitigation	Mitigation	Residual Impact
714, 719	7.5	Beef	0.79	11			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 11% of the land parcel(s) (majority of landtake is commercial land).	Footnote No 4	Slight adverse
716	17.7	Beef	1.92	11	Yes	17	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 11% and separation of 17% of the land parcel(s). 2.6ha separated. Access to graveyard severed.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Significant adverse
718	0.7	Horses	0.253	36	Yes	20	Low	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Moderate Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 36% and separation of 20% of the land parcel(s). 0.1ha of land separated.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Moderate adverse
724	4	Not farmed	2.72	68			Low	Impact is Moderate Adverse	Moderate adverse impact due to loss of 68% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Moderate adverse
732	5.3	Beef	0.106	2			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 2% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
750	5.9	Beef and horses	1.994	34			Medium	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 34% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
751	21	Horses and beef	8.18	39	Yes	74	Very high	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Profound	Profound impact due to loss of 39% and separation of 74% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Profound
752	18.5	Beef	1.089	6	Yes	2.5	Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Slight Adverse	Slight adverse impact due to loss of 6% and separation of 2.5% of the land parcel(s). 6.5ha of land separated and access to adjoining owned land severed.	Footnote No 4 and provide access to separated land via accommodation road	Slight adverse
754	5	Beef	1.4	28			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 28% of the land parcel(s). Silage pit taken.	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
756	0.2	Beef	0.03	15			Low	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 15% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
757	13.5	Beef	0.23	1.7			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 1.7% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Not significant
758	10.2	Sheep	2.46	24			Medium	Footnote No 1 - Impact is Significant Adverse	Significant adverse impact due to loss of 24% of the land parcel(s).	Footnote No 4	Significant adverse
760	11.9	Horses	0.511	4			Very high	Footnote No 2 - Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact due to loss of 4% of the land parcel(s). Small land-take at edge of an access lane.	Footnote No 4	Not significant
765	0.965	Beef and horses	0.965	100			Very Low	Impact is Not Significant	Not significant impact as will be maintained for grazing by Local Authority		Not significant

Note No 1: With construction phase mitigation, the impact is short term - mainly disturbance from construction traffic and noise – intermittent over a period of 36 months. Livestock can be maintained on the land. The main mitigation measures listed in 14.6.2 of the EIAR include notification to landowners in advance of commencement of works, provision of adequate fencing, provision for adequate access to the retained lands, provision for adequate water and controlling dust.

Note No 2: With mitigation, the impact is short term - mainly disturbance from construction traffic and noise. In addition to mitigation mentioned in Note No 1 additional mitigation may require to minimise impacts on horses.

Note No 3: With mitigation, the impact is short term - mainly disturbance from construction traffic and noise – intermittent over a period of 36 months. In addition to mitigation mentioned in Note No 1 dairy cows will have to have continuous access to milking parlour for milking.

Note No 4: Provision of mitigation measures as specified in Section 14.6 of the EIAR.

Note No 5: There is a potential cumulative effect on this land parcel from existing or planned housing development(s) (see Appendix 14.2)